Mutation of the Third Intracellular Loop of the cAMP Receptor, cAR1, of Dictyostelium Yields Mutants Impaired in Multiple Signaling Pathways*
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Seven-membrane span receptors transduce a wide range of signals across the plasma membrane. One member of this family, the cAMP receptor, cAR1, of Dictyostelium, mediates some responses (e.g. adenylyl cyclase activation, multicellular aggregation) which require G-proteins and others (e.g. Ca²⁺ influx, loss of ligand binding, cAR1 phosphorylation) which appear to be G-protein-independent. In this study, we randomly mutagenized the NH₂-terminal terminal eight amino acids of the third intracellular loop of cAR1 and examined the ability of these mutants to exhibit the three G-protein-independent responses listed above. Most mutants (classes I, II) exhibited wild-type or mildly defective responses. Several mutants (class III), however, were severely impaired in all three processes but not in cAMP binding. Furthermore, these mutants failed to couple productively with G-proteins and could not replace cAR1 in a car¹ cell. For these reasons, we propose that class III mutations interfere with the formation of an “active” conformation of the receptor.

Seven-membrane span, G-protein-coupled receptors transduce intercellular signals in organisms as diverse as mammals (1), yeast (2), and the slime mold, Dictyostelium (3). When deprived of nutrients, Dictyostelium amoebae initiate a developmental program. Cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) is secreted from central points and diffuses to nearby cells. cAMP binding to cell surface receptors (cARs) on the responding cells causes them to orient their migration toward the centers and to synthesize and secrete additional cAMP. These events mediate the transition from a unicellular growth phase to a multicellular, sporogenous phase (4, 5).

Four highly homologous cARs have been cloned (3, 6). The first of these to appear, cAR1, mediates the chemotactic, cell signaling, and gene expression changes which occur within the initial hours of development. Cells lacking cAR1 fail to aggregate and differentiate (3, 7).

cAR1 occupancy triggers the activation of effector enzymes (e.g. adenylyl cyclase), transmembrane ion fluxes, and morphological changes (reviewed in Ref. 8). As with many G-protein-coupled receptors, these events are closely followed by adaptation; within several minutes of cAMP application, all of these responses return to basal levels (9, reviewed in Ref. 8). Some of these changes, such as adenylyl cyclase adaptation, are correlated with rapid, occupancy-dependent alterations in cAR1, including the phosphorylation of serine residues on the cytoplasmic carboxyl domain (10, 11) and a reduction in the number of receptors capable of binding cAMP (12, 13). Similar alterations in the mammalian β-adrenergic receptor appear to regulate desensitization of catecholamine-activated adenylyl cyclase (14, reviewed in Ref. 15).

Many, but not all, responses of this family of receptors result from the activation of heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide binding regulatory proteins. In Dictyostelium, eight G-protein α-subunits have been identified (16–18). One of these, Gα2, is essential for many of the cAR1-mediated responses listed above (19, 20). However, several cAR1-dependent responses, including Ca²⁺ influx, cAR1 phosphorylation, and cAR1 loss of ligand binding (LLB), can occur in the absence of this or any other of the G-protein α-subunits examined thus far (21–23). These responses appear to be G-protein-independent (22) (5, 6).

The triggering of activation and desensitization pathways requires the specific detection of occupied receptors by other signal transducing proteins. The existence of an active receptor conformation has been postulated on spectroscopic and/or biochemical grounds (24, 25). Moreover, studies involving mutant adrenergic receptors suggest that ligand binding and the activation isomerization of receptors are separate but interrelated processes (26, 27).

Many mutant seven membrane-span receptors possessing substitutions or deletions in their third intracellular loops fail to activate G-proteins (28–30). These mutations are thought to perturb contact sites between receptors and G-proteins. However, certain of these mutations also interfere with LLB (30), which can occur without heterotrimeric G-proteins (31). Therefore, another explanation is that these mutant receptors may be deficient in the attainment of an activated conformation, causing a failure in all responses.
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In this study, we examined the role of the cAR1 third intracellular loop in cAMP-mediated activation and desensitization events by randomly mutagenizing a portion of this domain. Many of the mutant receptors exhibited minor deficits in the functions examined. However, we identified a class of mutants which bind ligand with high affinity, but which are severely compromised in both G-protein-dependent and G-protein-independent functions. Because of the pleiotropic nature of this phenotype, we propose that these receptors may be specifically impaired in activational isomerization.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Cells and Cell Culture—AX-3 cells were grown in shaking culture in HL5 (32). Transformed cell lines were maintained on Petri dishes in HL5 with G418 (20 µg/ml, Sigma) or in HL5 alone (JB-4 cells only). Unless otherwise indicated, cells were grown for 1-2 days in shaking culture, harvested at <5 x 10⁶/ml, and washed once in PB (5 mM Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 5 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 6.1). Development in shaking culture with 300 µM cAMP pulses and on developmental buffer plates was initiated as described (5). Bacterial plate development was initiated by spotting 1 x 10⁶ cells on a lawn of Klebsiella aerogenes.*

*Construction of a cAR1 Cell Line—A G418-sensitive, cAR1 Dicytostelium, was isolated from AX-3 in two steps. First, a uracil auxotroph, DH-1, was produced by deletion of the pyr-5-6 locus (33). A Clai-EcoRI fragment was removed from p188.50 (34) and replaced with a PCR fragment extending from nucleotide 1797 of the pyr 5-6 3'-noncoding region (35) to the EcoRI site of p188.50 to generate pBR24. This plasmid was linearized and electroporated into AX-3 cells (see below). 5-Fluoroorotic acid-resistant (100 µg/ml, Sigma) cells were selected clonally in FM medium (36) supplemented with uracil (20 µg/ml, Sigma). Deletion of the pyr 5-6 locus was confirmed by Southern blot analysis.*

*Second, the two endogenous cAR1 genes were deleted from DH-1 cells by homologous recombination. A 2.0-kb fragment containing the final 0.4 kb of coding sequence and 0.1 kb of sequence immediately upstream of the cAR1 coding region (37) and a fragment containing the final 0.4 kb of coding sequence and 0.1 kb of 3'-untranslated region of the cAR1 cDNA were also adenocloned into pBluescriptSK. A 3.7-kb Clai fragment from pDUB31 (33) was subcloned between these inserts to create pMC35. This vector was linearized and transformed into DH-1 cells by electroporation, and uracil prototrophs were selected clonally. The deletion of both cAR1 genes was demonstrated by Southern blot analysis. Like previously reported cAR1-cell lines (7), these cells (JB-4) fail to express cAR1 protein or to aggregate upon starvation.*

*Plasmid—Plasmid pMC32 was prepared by inserting a BamHI fragment from pH20 containing a cAR1 cDNA into m13mp19 replicative form DNA (Sigma). In extrachromosomal expression plasmid pMC36, a cAR1 cDNA is flanked by an Actin15 promoter, which drives constitutive transcription in both growth-phase and vegetative cells, and a 2H3 terminator (38). pMC36 was made as follows. First, a DNA fragment containing nucleotides 97-1312 of the cAR1 cDNA (but lacking the 5'-flanking region) was amplified using the following primer sequence: 5'-TTATGAATa'aca'aca'tag'gat'TAAACCAA-3'. This fragment was inserted into BamHI-BstXI fragment from the resulting mutant cDNA-containing plasmid DNA was then subcloned into pMC34 to generate a library of mutant plasmids.*

*Electrophoresis, Protein Reserve, and Sequence Analysis—AX-3 or JB4 cells were electroporated using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser (40) and selected clonally in HL-5 with G418 (20 µg/ml). For plasmid rescue, total DNA from 4 x 10⁵ Dicytostelium cells was prepared as described (37), and the entire preparation used to transform competent MC1061 bacteria. The electroporated bacterial colonies were isolated using standard procedures and sequenced with Sequenase (U. S. Biochemical Corp.). *

[^3H]cAMP Synthesis—[^3H]cAMP was synthesized enzymatically as described (41). Briefly, 100 µCi (10 µl) of [^3H]cAMP was incubated (30 min, 37°C) with 1.2 µg of Bacillus subtilis EF toxin (the generous gift of Dr. Stephen Leppa), in 20 µl Tris-HCl, 12.5 µM CaCl₂, 2.5 µg/ml bovine brain calmodulin (Sigma), 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 µM EDTA, 0.1 µg/ml bovine serum albumin, pH 7.5. Conversion efficiency was assessed by thin layer chromatography on polyethyleneimine cellulose (42). cAMP was purified to homogeneity by anion-exchange chromatography (43). The concentration of the radiolabeled cAMP was determined by scintillation counting.*

*Filter Plate cAMP Binding Assays—[^3H]cAMP binding was measured in the presence of ammonium sulfate, which stabilizes cAMP binding to cAR1 (44). To facilitate screening of the 0-kb fragment, we performed binding with a density of 10⁶ wells in a 96-well plate. Cells (4.5 x 10⁵), harvested from shaking culture, were washed once in PB and loaded into triplicate wells of a 96-well polyvinylidene difluoride-bottomed plate (0.85 µm, Millipore). The buffer was removed by manifold filtration and replaced with 50 µl of PB, 10 µmol dithiothreitol (DTT). Each well received 250 µl of ice-cold 90% saturated ammonium sulfate containing 5 x 10⁻¹⁶ M[^3H]cAMP with or without 10⁻⁴ M unlabeled cAMP. After 5 min, wells were filtered and washed three times with 250 µl of ammonium sulfate. Filters were air-dried and subjected to autoradiography. Results were linear over a 10-fold range of cell densities (data not shown).*

*Ca²⁺ influx—Unless otherwise indicated, intact, vegetative amoebae grown axenically (5 x 10⁶/ml) were assayed for cAMP-stimulated Ca²⁺ uptake in the presence of 10 µM CaCl₂ and 0.5 mM CoCl₂ as described (22). Receptor-induced Ca²⁺ entry is equal to the amount of Ca²⁺ accumulated by cAMP-stimulated cells minus the amount accumulated by untransfected cells. Cells pretreated with (A2, A42, AS3, and A81) were grown on plates in association with bacteria to measure folate-induced Ca²⁺ entry. Protein was measured on the same gel as described (45), using bovine serum albumin as standard.*

*Immunoblotting—Whole cells, solubilized in Laemmli buffer (46), were subjected to SDS-PAGE on 10% low bis-carboxymethyl gel, electrotransferred to PVDF membranes, blocked with 5% BSA as described (47), and blotted with anti-cAR1 serum (48) or anti-Gα (49). Proteins were detected using 125I-Protein A and autoradiography except for Fig. 10, where an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Amersham Corp.) was used as described by the manufacturer. Autoradiograms of immunoblots were digitized using an Eagle-Eye photographic system (Stratagene). The images were analyzed using the program NIH Image.*

*Loss of Ligand Binding—Washed, growth-stage cells were resuspended to 3 x 10⁷/ml and divided into 2 aliquots. LLB was assayed essentially as described (13). Cells were shaken (20 min, 200 rpm, 22°C), and DTT was added to 10 µM. One sample then received cAMP at 10⁻⁶ M or 10⁻⁴ M, as indicated, while the other received an identical volume of H₂O. At the designated times, cells were diluted 15-fold in ice-cold PB, pelleted (3 min, 2000 rpm, SS34 rotor) and washed three times with ice-cold PB. Cells were resuspended to 5 x 10⁷/ml in cold PBS, kept on ice. Blotting experiments were performed in PB at 16 µM[^3H]cAMP and 10 mM DTT (44).*

*Phosphorylation—cAR1 phosphorylation was performed as described (11). Phosphorylation was halted by the rapid solubilization of cells in Laemmli buffer. Samples were stored at -20°C until immunoblotting. Control experiments indicated that phosphorylation stopped upon the addition of Laemmli buffer and remained stable for at least 2 h on ice.*

*Scatchard Analysis—Washed, growth-stage cells were resuspended in PB to 1 x 10⁹/ml, and kept on ice.[^3H]cAMP binding in PB was performed in triplicate in the presence of 10⁻⁶ M[^3H]cAMP and varying concentrations of unlabeled cAMP (0-10⁻⁴ M) (44). Scatchard plots were generated, and the data analyzed by linear regression.*

[^3H]cAMP Uptake—Washed growth-stage cells were resuspended in PB to 3 x 10⁷/ml, shaken (200 rpm, 22°C, 15 min), and DTT added to 10 µM. Cells were then shaken in the presence of 10⁻⁶ M[^3H]cAMP and 2 x 10⁻¹⁴ M unlabeled cAMP. Nonspecific uptake was determined by adding 10⁻⁴ M unlabeled cAMP to parallel samples. At the indicated times, cells were diluted 15-fold in ice-cold PB, 10⁻⁴ M cAMP, immediately pelleted (5 min, 4000 rpm, HS-4 rotor), and washed three more times in PB. The pellets were solubilized in 300 µl of 1% SDS, 4 ml of scintillation fluid were added, and radioactivity was assessed.[^3H]cAMP binding assays were performed in parallel to allow normalization of uptake to total receptor number.*

*GTP Inhibition of Binding—Cells developed in shaking culture were diluted 10-fold in PB, shaken (200 rpm, 20 min 22°C), washed once in PB, resuspended to 4 x 10⁷/ml, and shaken (200 rpm, 22°C, 10 min). Cells were then lysed by the addition of bovine dithiothreitol (0.65 µM, Millipore) and the lysates pelleted (5 min, 10,000 rpm, SS34 rotor). The pellets were resuspended in PB to a density of 6 x 10⁶ cells equivalents/ml and kept on ice. Binding
of 2 nM [3H]cAMP binding was then assessed by spinning through silicone oil as described (50).

RESULTS

Construction of a Mutant Receptor Library—We performed oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis of cAR1 cDNA in m13 (pMC33) to synthesize a randomly mutated population of cAR1 cDNAs. A degenerate primer was designed to introduce amino-terminal portion into a segregating, extrachromosomal expression vector ing sitedcell, and these levels increase 20-100-fold upon trans-
formation with a CAR-containing plasmid (3). A 96-well assay of cone oil as described (50).

(pMC34), and transformed into Dictyostelium. Several hundred independent clones were obtained.

was developed to rapidly measure this increase in the mutant clones ("Materials and Methods"). Under these conditions, 1-4 random nucleotide mutations into the region encoding the stage Ax-3 cells expressing mutant containing control vector-transformed or wild-type cAR1-transformed unlabeled CAMP as described under "Materials and Methods." Wells cells are labeled at 96well filter-bottomed tissue culture plates and CAMP binding at 5 values shown are the ratio of Ca2+ uptake into CAMP-treated cells/Ca2+ uptake of experiments. Loss of ligand binding was assessed in growth-stage cells after treatment with 1 µM or 10 µM CAMP for 15 min, as described under "Materials and Methods." % Lost is defined as (100 - ([cAMP binding in stimulated cells/cAMP binding in unstimulated cells] x 100)). Values shown are the mean ± S.E. of the indicated number of experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Ca2+ Influx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 µM CAMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>A60</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A63</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A55</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A62</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A40</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A16</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A53</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A51</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A42</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**FIG. 2. Ca²⁺ uptake into carI− cells expressing A, wild-type cAR1; B, mutant A42; or C, control vector. Growth-stage cells were assayed for Ca²⁺ entry in the presence of 100 μM Ca²⁺ as described under "Materials and Methods." Values shown are for Ca²⁺ uptake into resting (open circles) and cAMP-stimulated cells (open triangles) and for cAMP-induced Ca²⁺ (filled circles). Results shown in each panel represent the means ± S.E. of data from three independent experiments.**


tively unimpaired Ca²⁺ response, despite the fact that many contained multiple amino acid replacements. The remaining mutants did not trigger a cAMP-induced Ca²⁺ response. Mutant A42 is noteworthy since it possesses a single amino acid replacement and is very similar to responder mutant A2.

Additional experiments were performed to confirm the Ca²⁺ null phenotype. Null mutants (A3 and A42) failed to show stimulated uptake when the Ca²⁺ uptake reaction was followed for longer times (60 s) in the presence of higher concentrations (100 μM) of Ca²⁺ (Fig. 2 and data not shown). In addition, cAMP-induced uptake into mutants A3, A42, or A53 was not restored when the cells (a) were depleted of intracellular Ca²⁺ prior to assay (S1) or (b) were stimulated with cAMP in the presence of EGTA 10 μM before the addition of 100 μM Ca²⁺, a procedure which lowers basal Ca²⁺ uptake (9, data not shown). Finally, the folate-induced Ca²⁺ response of A3, A42, A53, and A81 was comparable to the wild-type response, suggesting that non-cAR1 receptor component(s) of the Ca²⁺-signaling pathway were functional in these cells (data not shown). To further test this idea, wild-type cAR1 and mutant A42 were expressed in carI− cells ("Materials and Methods"). Growth-stage cells expressing wild-type cAR1 exhibited a cAMP-induced Ca²⁺ uptake comparable to other cAR1-expressing strains (compare Fig. 2A with Figs. 2 and 7 in Ref. 22). However, cells containing similar levels of A42 (see below) or vector control cells failed to show stimulated uptake (Fig. 2, B and C). Comparable levels of basal Ca²⁺ uptake were observed in all three cell lines (Fig. 2).

**FIG. 3. Loss of ligand binding in cAR1 mutants. A, [3H]cAMP binding in PB was measured in growth-stage AX-3 cells expressing wild-type or mutant cAR1 after pretreatment without (shaded bars) or with (open bars) 10 μM cAMP as described under "Materials and Methods." Specific binding is plotted as the mean ± S.E. of triplicate determinations from a representative experiment. Specific binding by control vector-transformed cells was typically less than 60 cpm/min. B, kinetics of loss of ligand binding in growth-stage AX-3 cells expressing wild-type cAR1 (filled circles) or mutant A42 (open circles). [3H]cAMP binding in PB was measured after pretreatment of cells in the absence (0 min) or presence (5, 15, 30 min) of 10 μM cAMP as described under "Materials and Methods." The percentage of control (0 min) binding remaining after the indicated period of cAMP treatment is shown as the mean ± S.E. of two independent experiments performed in triplicate.**
**FIG. 4.** cAMP-induced phosphorylation of cAR1 mutants. Growth-stage AX-3 cells containing control vector pMC34 or expressing wild-type or mutant cAR1 were stimulated for 15 min without (-) or with (+) 10^{-5} M cAMP for 15 min, solubilized in Laemmli buffer, and immunoblotted with cAR1 antiserum as described under "Materials and Methods." The lower and upper bands in each panel are basal and phosphorylated cAR1, respectively. Wild-type cAR1 is loaded in both panels for direct comparison with the mutants. The class designations are described under "Results."

**FIG. 5.** Dose dependence of cAMP-induced phosphorylation of cAR1 mutants. Growth-phase AX-3 cells expressing wild-type cAR1 (filled squares), mutant A42 (open squares), or mutant A53 (filled circles) were stimulated with the indicated dose of cAMP for 30 min, solubilized in Laemmli buffer, and immunoblotted with cAR1 antiserum as described under "Materials and Methods." A, percentage of receptor in the phosphorylated (upper band) form was calculated by image analysis of autoradiographs as described under "Materials and Methods." Results shown are from two independent experiments. B, representative autoradiographs used to derive values in panel A. a-i represent the following doses, respectively: 0, 10^{-9} M, 5 \times 10^{-9} M, 10^{-8} M, 5 \times 10^{-8} M, 10^{-7} M, 3 \times 10^{-7} M, 6 \times 10^{-7} M, 10^{-6} M, 10^{-4} M.

**Phosphorylation**—The relative abilities of the mutants to undergo cAMP-induced phosphorylation were compared by monitoring by immunoblot a change in the apparent molecular mass of the receptor from 40 to 43 kDa (Fig. 4). This electrophoretic mobility shift is the result of serine phosphorylation within the sequence \(299\text{PYSSSRTG}308\) in the cytoplasmic carboxyl terminus of cAR1. For wild-type cAR1, nearly all of the 40 kDa band shifted to 43 kDa (Fig. 4, top left, bottom right). While many of the mutants exhibited a nearly wild-type response (Fig. 4, top right, bottom left), class III mutants were markedly impaired (Fig. 4, bottom right). The apparent increase in molecular mass of the mutant receptors was identical to that of wild-type cAR1, but the fraction of phosphorylated molecules at steady-state was reduced.

The dose dependence and rate of the ligand-induced phosphorylation of several representative mutant receptors were examined next. cAMP elicited half-maximal phosphorylation of wild-type cAR1 at 25 nM (Fig. 5). Mutant A42 showed a decreased sensitivity to cAMP \((EC_{50} = 178 \text{ nm})\), while that of mutant A53 was even further reduced \((EC_{50} = 630 \text{ nm})\). The responses of both mutants reached a plateau at 10 \(\mu\)M cAMP, at a fractional level of phosphorylation considerably below that of wild-type. Prolonged cAMP treatment (10^{-4} M, 2 h) failed to further increase the phosphorylation of these two mutant re-
mutant A53 (filled circles), or mutant A42 (open squares) were stimulated for the indicated times with $10^{-4}$ M cAMP, solubilized in Laemmli buffer, and immunoblotted with cARl antiserum as described under "Materials and Methods." A, the percentage of phosphorylated (upper band) cARl was quantitated by image analysis of autoradiographs as described under "Materials and Methods." Results shown are from two independent experiments. B, representative autoradiographs used to calculate the values in panel A. cAMP treatment time (min) is indicated below each lane.

**Fig. 6. Kinetics of cAMP-induced phosphorylation in cARl mutants.** Growth-stage AX-3 cells expressing wild-type cARl (filled squares), mutant A53 (filled circles), or mutant A42 (open squares) were stimulated for the indicated times with $10^{-4}$ M cAMP, solubilized in Laemmli buffer, and immunoblotted with cARl antiserum as described under "Materials and Methods." A, the percentage of phosphorylated (upper band) cARl was quantitated by image analysis of autoradiographs as described under "Materials and Methods." Results shown are from two independent experiments. B, representative autoradiographs used to calculate the values in panel A. cAMP treatment time (min) is indicated below each lane.

**DISCUSSION**

The third intracellular loops of several G-protein-coupled receptors have been implicated as sites of interaction with both G-proteins and receptor kinases. Mutations within this region have resulted in receptors which no longer interact with G-proteins (28–30, 55, 56), which are deficient in ligand-mediated signaling activity (26, 27). The juxtamembranous segments of both the rate and extent of this process were at least as high as for cells expressing wild-type cARl.

**Rescue of carl- Cells and G-protein Coupling**—To assess the physiological consequences of third intracellular loop mutagenesis, wild-type cARl and mutants A42 and A53 were overexpressed in a carl- cell line (JB4). carl- cells fail to aggregate upon nutrient depletion, a response which is restored upon transformation with wild-type cARl (Fig. 9). Expression of equivalent levels of mutant A42 and A53 in the carl- cells failed to restore the wild-type phenotype. These receptors are thus defective in some function crucial for development.

Expression of mutant receptors in the carl- cells allowed us to examine receptor G-protein coupling. The interaction of cARl with the G-protein G2 results in the formation of a high-affinity form of receptor (48, 50), which can be eliminated in vitro by the addition of GTP. The carl- cells transformed with the mutant cARls were starved to induce expression of Ga2, cell lysates were prepared and binding at 2 nM [3H]cAMP measured in the presence and absence of GTP. GTP reduced cAMP binding in membranes containing wild-type cARl by 70%. In contrast, this compound had little effect on cAMP binding by membranes containing mutant A42 (Fig. 10). Vector control membranes exhibited no specific cAMP binding. Immunoblots of the lysates revealed comparable levels of Ga2 and G proteins in all three cell lines (Fig. 10). Preliminary experiments have yielded similar results for mutant A53 (data not shown). These observations suggest that in addition to their other defects, class III mutants do not interact productively with G-proteins.
this domain may be especially important (26, 29). However, the assignment of specific functions to specific portions of this loop has been complicated by at least three factors. First, receptors possess loops of widely varying sizes. Second, amino acid replacements at the same position in two different receptors can have opposite effects on signaling (56). Third, many analyses have involved either large deletions or a relatively small number of point mutations.

To determine if the NH2-terminal portion of the third intracellular loop of cAR1 plays a role in cAMP-mediated signal transduction, we randomly mutagenized it and expressed the mutant versions of cAR1 from a segregating, extrachromosomal expression vector. This strategy allowed us to assess the functional properties of many receptors individually. The plasmids encoding these receptors were easily rescued and sequenced within the targeted domain. A similar strategy has yielded several interesting mutations within the analogous domain of the yeast STE2 pheromone receptor (55).

Many of the mutants we analyzed (class I and II), even some with multiple nonconservative amino acid replacements, exhibited normal or only slightly impaired ligand-dependent responses. In fact, none of the amino acids in the targeted region were essential for cAMP-induced Ca2+ influx or LLB. Another class of mutants (class III) was very defective in several signaling properties, despite exhibiting normal cAMP binding. Two class III mutants, A42 and A53, contained replacements at Val189. While replacement of hydrophobic residues at this position were well tolerated (mutants A62 and A22), its replacement with a charged amino acid in A42 (and possibly A53) markedly decreased function. Strikingly, while in A42, the single replacement of Val189 with Asp yielded a class III receptor, the identical replacement of Val189 in A2 was not nearly as disruptive. It may be noteworthy that the former valine is completely conserved among the four cARs cloned to date, while the latter is not (3, 57, 58). The other class III mutants, A3 and A81, share two characteristics. In both cases, Tyr185 is replaced with an Asn and several nearby residues are mutated. As well, we are now determining if the Tyr to Asn mutation alone is sufficient to confer a class III phenotype.

Class III mutants are deficient in coupling to multiple downstream effectors. These mutants are impaired in cAMP-mediated Ca2+ influx, LLB, receptor phosphorylation and G-protein coupling, and they fail to rescue the developmental phenotype of car1- cells. These defects most likely represent a failure to couple to at least two independent signaling pathways, as Ca2+ influx, LLB, and receptor phosphorylation are G-protein-independent (22, 58).

There are several possible explanations for the multiple defects in class III mutants. First, they might be defective in targeting to the plasma membrane. However, these mutants bind cAMP with affinity and surface B\textsubscript{max} values similar to those of wild-type cAR1. Second, the effectors of these multiple pathways might interact with a common contact site on cAR1, which is altered in the mutants. A third, more intriguing, possibility is that class III mutants fail to efficiently achieve an occupancy-induced activation of G-proteindependent isomerization.

It has been proposed that conversion of a receptor to an active conformational state is an event distinct from ligand binding (27). Recent studies of "constitutively active" mutants of catecholamine receptors support this notion (26, 27). These mutants exhibit an elevated level of signaling in the absence of ligand and a higher affinity for agonists but not antagonists. These characteristics are accounted for in a model wherein agonist, but not antagonist, binding promotes the isomerization of a wild-type receptor to a higher affinity, "active" conformation. This conformational change also confers a greater propensity to interact with G-proteins. We propose that an activated receptor interacts more avidly with receptor kinase, as well. According to this scheme, it should be possible to isolate mutants which can bind ligand and yet cannot efficiently switch to the activated state. Such mutants are predicted to be defective in multiple ligand-induced responses and display phenotypes similar to cAR1 class III mutants. A reduction in their rates of activational isomerization could account for their reduced rates of phosphorylation.

Since binding affinity is dependent upon the distribution between active and inactive forms of the receptor, a mutant which cannot undergo this activation is expected to exhibit a lower binding affinity. The anticipated affinity difference should be maximal, however, for a receptor like cAR1, which is relatively inactive in the absence of ligand.

We did not observe large enough differences in affinity between wild-type cAR1 and mutants A42 or A53 to account for their functional defects. We did, however, note two dose-related changes in these mutants. First, as previously reported (11), we found that wild-type cAR1 exhibits an EC\textsubscript{50} for phosphorylation which is 10-fold lower than its binding affinity. In contrast,
the phosphorylation $EC_{50}$ values of mutants A42 and A53 were similar to their binding affinities. Second, a small percentage of wild-type cAR1 molecules typically display very high affinity binding sites, whereas no such sites were observed for mutants A42 or A53. These differences may reflect the inability of these mutants to isomerize.

While the phosphorylation and loss of binding responses are only partially defective in class III mutants, Ca$^{2+}$ influx is nearly completely absent. One possible explanation for this difference is that the threshold level of activated receptor required for Ca$^{2+}$ influx is higher than that for phosphorylation or LLB. Alternatively, these three responses may lie on divergent pathways, since phosphorylation and loss of binding occur over a relatively long time period, and are cumulative (11, 13), whereas Ca$^{2+}$ influx is more rapid and transient, and may be turned off in a receptor-autonomous fashion (9).

Upon prolonged incubation of Dictyostelium amoebae with radiolabeled CAMP, there is the formation of a time- and cAR1-dependent "slowly dissociating" pool of cell-associated ligand, which can be detected after extensive washing of cells (53). This pool does not appear to be tightly associated with the cAR1 protein (54). Interestingly, the ability of a class III mutant (A42) to bring about the formation of this "slowly-dissociating" pool was at least as robust as that of wild-type cAR1. It has been hypothesized that the "slowly dissociating pool" is a result of receptor-mediated endocytosis, and that the latter may also be responsible for cAMP-mediated LLB (53). However, the retention of this function, despite a drastic impairment in LLB, shows that these phenomena are distinct, as previously suggested (54). Moreover, this finding suggests that CAMP "uptake" may not depend upon an activating isomerization of cAR1.

In studies involving random mutagenesis of the third intracellular loop of the yeast STE2 receptor, many of the mutated receptors failed to exhibit ligand binding (55). In contrast, most of the cAR1 mutants generated in this study bound CAMP with high affinity. This difference might be due to the fact that while the STE2 third intracellular loop is predicted to contain only 12 amino acids, the cAR1 loop is predicted to contain 24 amino acids. This added length may allow cAR1 to structurally accommodate more amino acid replacements than the STE2 protein. The STE2 receptor mutants which were capable of ligand binding exhibited a similar phenotype to the cAR1 class III mutants; both classes of mutants exhibited multiple signaling defects despite their high binding affinities. As in cAR1, this phenotype could be produced in the STE2 protein by replacement of a hydrophobic residue with a chemically dissimilar amino acid. These findings support the contention (55) that...
hydrophobic residues may be as critical as charged or polar residues for third intracellular loop structure and function in G-protein-coupled receptors.

In summary, our results suggest that residues within the NH2-terminal portion of the Dictyostelium cAR1 third intracellular loop are involved in multiple G-protein-mediated signal transduction events, perhaps through their involvement in an activational isomerization which occurs upon ligand binding. While the specific roles played by these residues is unclear, continued mutational analysis of these and other amino acids within this domain should further our understanding of G-protein-coupled receptor activation and deactivation. The failure of class III mutants to restore aggregation competence to cAR1mutants to restore aggregation competence to cAR1 or mutant A42.A.
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